

May 2016



As part of your full strategy you will also wish to consider results for specific groups of pupils

Identify barriers that need to be addressed in-school, as well as external

issues such as poor home learning environments and low attendance.

Pupil premium strategy statement: primary schools, completed example based on fictitious school

			(such as particular year groups or minority groups) as well as the headline figures presented						
1. Summary information			here. If	you hav	e very	small pupil number you r	may wish to present 3 year average	s here.	
School			_						_
Academic Yea	cademic Year 2016/17 Total PP budget		£70	£70,000		Date of most recent PP Review		n/a	
Total number of pupils 247		247	Number of pupils eligible for P	P 63	Date for next internal r		Date for next inter	nal review of this strategy	Jan 2017
2. Current at	2. Current attainment Use measures that replace levels.								
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school) Pupils not eligible for PP (national average						ional average)		
% achieving in	n reading,	writing and r	maths			71%		75%	
% making pro	gress in re	eading				87%		92%	
% making pro	% making progress in writing					91%		95%	
% making pro	% making progress in maths					85%		91%	
3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability) In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) Data sources that can help you identify barriers to attainment in your school include: RAISEonline; the EEF Families of Schools database; FFT Aspire; staff and pupil consultation; attendance records; recent school Ofsted reports; and Ofsted guidance.									
A. Oral language skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows reading progress in subsequent years.									
B. High ab	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across Key Stage 1. This prevents sustained high achievement in Key Stage 2.								
C. Behavio	C. Behaviour issues for a small group of Year 6 pupils (mostly eligible for PP) are having detrimental effect on their academic progress and that of their peers.								
External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)									
D. Attenda	D. Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are 82% (below the target for all children of 96%). This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.								
					_				

4. De	on fewer aims in more depth is encouraged.			
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria		
A.	Improve oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations.		
B.	Higher rates of progress across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	Pupils eligible for PP identified as high ability make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high ability, across Key Stage 2 in maths, reading and writing. Measured in Y4, 5 and 6 by teacher assessments and successful moderation practices established across the multi-academy trust (MAT).		
C.	Behavioural issues of Year 6 pupils addressed.	Fewer behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils on the school system (without changing recording practices or standards).		
D.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 10% or below. Overall PP attendance improves from 82% to 96% in line with 'other' pupils.		

Best practice is to combine professional knowledge with robust evidence about approaches You may have more than one action/approach which are known to be effective. You can consult external evidence sources such as: the 5. Planned expenditure for each desired outcome. Teaching and Learning Toolkit, the NfER report on supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils, Ofsted's 2013 report on the pupil premium and Ofsted's 2014 report on Academic year 2016/17 pupil premium progress. The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies. i. Quality of teaching for all Chosen action / What is the evidence and rationale How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will you **Desired outcome** implemented well? for this choice? review approach implementation? A. Improved oral Staff training on high Course selected using evidence of Deputy Head We want to invest some of the PP in longer Jan 2017 language skills in term change which will help all pupils. Many quality feedback. effectiveness. different evidence sources, e.a. EEF Toolkit Use INSET days to deliver training. Reception Staff training on developing suggest high quality feedback is an effective Peer observation of attendees' oracy for the high attaining way to improve attainment, and it is suitable B. Improved progress for classes after the course, to embed pupils in EYFS and high attaining pupils as an approach that we can embed across learning (no assessment). reception Y1 from the school. Lessons from training embedded in EYFS/Reception SLE. school feedback policy. B. Improved progress for CPD on providing stretch High ability pupils eligible for PP are making Course selected using evidence of English lead Jan 2017 less progress than other higher attaining high attaining pupils for high attaining pupils. effectiveness. pupils across Key Stage 2 in writing. We Use INSET days to deliver training. want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve Peer observation of attendees' high attainment as well as simply 'meeting classes after the course, to embed expected standards'. We want to train a learning (no assessment). small number of relevant teachers in practices to provide stretch and encouragement for these pupils. Total budgeted cost £15,000 ii. Targeted support How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will you Chosen What is the evidence and rationale **Desired outcome** action/approach for this choice? implemented well? review implementation? A. Improved oral 121 and small group Some of the students need targeted support Organise timetable to ensure staff Reception Jun 2017 language skills in provision of Nuffield Early to catch up. This is a programme which has delivering provision have sufficient class Language Intervention for been independently evaluated and shown to preparation and delivery time. reception teachers children in Reception. be effective in other schools. Consult local school which has used B. Improved progress for the programme to identify any high attaining pupils potential barriers to good implementation.

B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	Weekly small group sessions in maths for high- attaining pupils with experienced teacher, in addition to standard lessons.	We want to provide extra support to maintain high attainment. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as Visible Learning by John Hattie and the EEF Toolkit. We want to combine this additional provision with some 'aspiration' interventions such as talks from successful former pupils.	Extra teaching time and preparation time paid for out of PP budget, not sought on a voluntary basis. Impact overseen by maths coordinator. Teaching assistant (TA) CPD for TAs supporting the sessions. Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns or questions about the additional sessions.	Pupil Premium Coordinator	Mar 2017
			Total bud	dgeted cost	£25,000
iii. Other approach	es				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
D. Increased attendance rates	Part time support worker employed to monitor pupils and follow up quickly on absences. First day response provision.	We can't improve attainment for children if they aren't actually attending school. NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step.	Thorough briefing of support worker about existing absence issues. PP coordinator, support worker, head etc. will collaborate to ensure new provision and standard school processes work smoothly together.	Pupil Premium Coordinator	Jan 2017
C. Problem behaviour in Year 6 addressed	Identify a targeted behaviour intervention for identified students. Use support worker to engage with parents before intervention begins. Develop restorative approaches and focus on positive behaviours.	The EEF Toolkit suggests that targeted interventions matched to specific students with particular needs or behavioural issues can be effective, especially for older pupils.	Ensure identification of target pupils is fair, transparent and properly recorded. Monitor behaviour but also monitor whether improvements in behaviour translate into improved attainment. Observation by relevant staff of the Y6 nurture group at a neighbouring primary, which has been judged to have a positive impact on pupil behaviours and also the Forest school, reported on as an National Professional Qualification for Middle Leadership project in the MAT for developing positive behaviours.	Year 6 teachers	Jun 2017

Total budgeted cost £30,000

		and success criteria will be different to		
Review of exper	above.		L according	rned may be about
Previous Academic i. Quality of teach			Show whether the success criteria were met. Additional evidence of impact can also be referred to, including attainment data, progress data, and case studies.	
				I _
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropria	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improve attainment cross-circular	Staff sent on external 'growth mind-set' course	Mixed: training has informed approach to but aspiration in school. We measured the impact attainment for all children, not just PP eligible Success criteria: not fully met. Approach sho promise as evident from staff developing questioning technique as seen in lesson observation – best practice shared in briefing	affected attitudes of students. We will not repeat the training, but continue implementing the approach and monitoring pupil response.	£420 per teacher for 15 teachers. Plus staff cover for training days. £10,050
		But Progress 8 data shows that PP students not make expected progress.		
ii. Targeted suppo	rt			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropria	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improved Year 6 literacy results	One to one tuition delivered by qualified teacher using planned programme.	High: observed increased progress amongst participating children compared to peers, as measured using scores on the Progress in E test. Success criteria: met.	determined by the class teacher based on their observations	£1550 per pupil for 13 pupils. £20,150.
iii. Other approach	es		•	
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropria	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Maintain progress for high ability pupils over summer.				£1260 per pupil for 21 pupils. £26,460.

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above. Our full strategy document can be found online at: www.aschool.sch.uk

This is a review of the previous year, so the